0357

From the:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

OID 05

| То: | | | PCT | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| F.B. Rice & Co. 139 Rathdowne Street | | | WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66) | | | | |
| | | | | | | CARLTON VIC 3053 2 0 0CT 2003 | |
| Fig. 1902 0.00. | | | Date of mailing 1 6 OCT 7003 (day/month/year) | | | | |
| Applicant's or a | agent's file reference | | | within TWO MONTHS | | | |
| 501580 | | | | from the above date of mailing | | | |
| International Application No. International Filing Dat | | | te (day/month/year) | Priority Date (day/month/year) | | | |
| PCT/AU03/ | 1 | 26 June 2003 | 1770 | 26 June 2002 | | | |
| _ | Patent Classification (IPC) or 1 | both national classifica | ition and IPC | | | | |
| | H04R 25/00, A61N 1/36 | | | | | | |
| Applicant | | | | · | | | |
| COCH | HLEAR LIMITED et al | | | • | | | |
| | | | - | | | | |
| This writt | ten opinion is the first draw | wn by this Internationa | l Preliminary Examini | ng Authority. | | | |
| | ion contains indications relatin | • | · | | | | |
| I X | Basis of the opinion | | . _ | | | | |
| п | Priority | | | | | | |
| | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | | | |
| IV | | | | | | | |
| v 🖂 | | 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to | novelty, inventive step of | r industrial applicability; citations and | | | |
| | explanations supporting such sta | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | |
| VI _ | Certain documents cited | | | | | | |
| VII _ | Certain defects in the international application | | | | | | |
| VIII | Certain observations on the inter | mational application | | | | | |
| 3. The FINAL 26 Octob | L DATE by which the internation per 2004 | nal preliminary examinati | on report must be establi | shed according to Rule 69.2 is: | | | |
| The applic | cant is hereby invited to reply | to this opinion. | | | | | |
| When? See the Reply Due date indicated above. However, the Australian Patent Office will not establish the Report before the earlier of (i) a response being filed, or (ii) one month before the Final Date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established. The Report will take into account any response (including amendments) filed before the Report is established. If no response is filed by 1 month before the Final Date, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion. Applicants wishing to have the benefit of a further opinion (if needed) before the report is established should ensure that a response is filed at least 3 months before the Final Date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established. | | | | | | | |
| | How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU | | | Authorized Officer | 19 | | | |
| AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA | | | Klif Bot | | | | |
| E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au ROBERT BARTRAM | | | | AM | | | |
| Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929 | | | Telephone No. (02) 6283 2215 | | | | |



International application No.

PCT/AU03/00804

| I. | I. Basis of the opinion | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | . With regard to the elements of the international application:* | | | | | | |
| | X | the international application as originally filed. | | | | | |
| | | the description, | pages , as originally filed, | | | | |
| | | | pages, filed with the demand, | | | | |
| | | | pages, received on with the letter of | | | | |
| | | the claims, | pages , as originally filed, | | | | |
| | | | pages , as amended under Article 19, | | | | |
| | | | pages , filed with the demand, | | | | |
| | | | pages, received on with the letter of | | | | |
| | | the drawings, | pages , as originally filed, | | | | |
| | | | pages , filed with the demand, | | | | |
| | | | pages, received on with the letter of | | | | |
| | | the sequence lists | ng part of the description: | | | | |
| | | | pages , as originally filed | | | | |
| ٠. | | | pages , filed with the demand | | | | |
| | | | pages, received on with the letter of | | | | |
| 2. | whic | ith regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The second response to the language is a second response to the language in the language is a second response to the language is a seco | | | | | |
| | | | translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). | | | | |
| | | the language of p | ublication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). | | | | |
| | | the language of the and/or 55.3). | ne translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 | | | | |
| 3. | | regard to any nucl n on the basis of th | eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was e sequence listing: | | | | |
| | | contained in the i | nternational application in printed form. | | | | |
| | 同 | filed together with | the international application in computer readable form. | | | | |
| | 同 | furnished subsequ | ently to this Authority in written form. | | | | |
| | | furnished subsequ | ently to this Authority in computer readable form. | | | | |
| | | | t the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the ication as filed has been furnished. | | | | |
| • | | The statement that been furnished. | t the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has | | | | |
| 4. | | The amendments | have resulted in the cancellation of: | | | | |
| | | the descr | iption, pages | | | | |
| | | the claim | s, Nos. | | | | |
| | | the drawn | ngs, sheets/fig. | | | | |
| 5. | | This opinion has b | peen established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to closure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). | | | | |
| * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed" | | | | | | | |



International application No.

PCT/AU03/00804

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

| C+-+ | 4 |
|--------|-----|
| Statem | ent |

| Novelty (N) | Claims | YES |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----|
| · | Claims 1 to 38 | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | YES |
| | Claims 1 to 38 | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims 1 to 38 | YES |
| | Claims | NO |

2. Citations and explanations

D1) US 6157861 .

D2) US 6002966

Novelty: Claims 1 to 38

The invention defined in claims 1 to 38 is not novel when compared with prior art document D1 that discloses all the essential features of the invention claimed. Refer to the claims and the abstract for particular relevance. This citation discloses a method and programming apparatus that establishes an initial profile across a plurality of channels and automatically adjusts the profiles in the presence of a stimulation signal. The appended claims appear to introduce features that are explicitly disclosed in D1 and hence are also considered to be not novel.

Similarly the invention defined in claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 is not novel when compared with prior art document D2 that discloses all the essential features of the invention claimed. Refer to column 16 line 5 to column 17 line 7 for particular relevance.

Inventive step: claims 1 to 38

Regarding D1 claims 1 to 38 as above.

Regarding D2 claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 as above. Claims 7 to 22, and 25 to 38 are considered to introduce features that are not inventive in light of D2 as they appear to introduce features that are either well known in the art or minor workshop variations to the inventive concept.

Industrial Applicability: Claims 1 to 38

All claims satisfy the industrial applicability criteria in the field of hearing prosthesis.